1	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney		
2 3	J. DOUGLAS WILSON (DCBN 412811) Chief, Criminal Division		
4 5	JANAKI GANDHI (CABN 272246) Special Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055		
6 7	San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7050 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 E-Mail: janaki.gandhi@usdoj.gov Attorneys for the United States of America		
8 9			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 13-0423 RS		
14	Plaintiff,		
15	v. STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]		
16	ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18 JOSE ALFREDO GARCIA-SUAREZ, U.S.C. § 3161		
17	Defendant.)		
18)		
19			
20	The parties in this case appeared before the Court on July 9, 2013, for the defendant's		
21	initial appearance before this Court and a status conference. At that time, the parties represented		
22	to the Court that granting exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act would be appropriate.		
23 24	Due to an error regarding the defendant's appearance with this Court, the defendant, who had		
2 4 25	appeared in magistrate court the same morning, was transported back to his place of custody by the U.S. Marshal Service. Consequently, the defendant was not present for his hearing. In		
26	addition, defense counsel requested additional time to review the discovery recently disclosed by		
27	the government.		
28	//		
	STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 13-0423 RS		

Case 3:13-cr-00423-RS Document 10 Filed 07/11/13 Page 2 of 3

1	As a result, the parties requested the Court to set the matter out for two weeks, and		
2	agreed that granting exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act would allow the reasonable		
3	time necessary for effective preparation of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The		
4	parties further agreed that the ends of justice served by granting such an exclusion of time		
5	outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. §		
6	3161(h)(7)(A). At the hearing, the Court made findings consistent with this agreement, and set		
7	the matter to July 23, 2013.		
8	SO STIPULATED:		
9	ME	LINDA HAAG	
10		ted States Attorney	
11			
12	DATED: July 9, 2013 $\frac{1}{1}$	/s/ JAKI GANDHI	
13		cial Assistant United States Attorney	
14	ł		
15		/s/ DI LINKER	
16	Atto	orney for Defendant Jose Alfredo Garcia-Suarez	
17	7		
18	3		
19			
20			
21			
22	2		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME		

CR 13-0423 RS

- [PROPOSED] ORDER

For the reasons stated above and at the July 9, 2013, hearing, the Court finds that the exclusion from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 of the period from July 9, 2013, and July 23, 2013, is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). Denying the requested exclusion of time would deprive the parties of the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 7/11/13

THE HONORABLE RICHAR SEEBORG

United States District Judge

STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 13-0423 RS